Washington State Integrated Care Assessment for <u>Primary Care Settings</u>

Based on the <u>Continuum Based Frameworks for Integration in Behavioral Health and Primary Care Clinics - for Primary Care Settings</u> by Dr. Henry Chung, et al, Montefiore Health System, NY. Used and modified with input from primary author (Chung). (https://uhfnyc.org/media/filer_public/61/87/618747cf-9f4b-438d-aaf7-6feff91df145/bhi finalreport.pdf)

| Role | Key Domains of Integrated Care | | Preliminary | Intermediate I. | Intermediate II. | Advanced | Self- Assessed |
|----------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|-------------------|
| Clinical Workflow | 1. Screening, referral to care and follow-up (f/u) | 1.1 Screening, initial assessment, follow-up for common Behavioral Health (BH) conditions | Patient/clinician identification of those with BH symptoms—not systematic | Systematic BH screening of targeted patient groups (e.g., those with diabetes, CAD), with follow-up for assessment | Systematic BH screening of all patients, with follow-up for assessment and engagement | Analysis of patient population to stratify patients with high-risk BH conditions for proactive assessment and engagement | Level |
| | | 1.2 Facilitation of referrals, feedback | Referral only, to external BH provider(s)/ psychiatrist | Referral to external BH provider(s)/psychiatris t through a written agreement detailing engagement, with feedback strategies | Enhanced referral to internal/co- located BH clinician(s)/psychia trist, with assurance of "warm handoffs" when needed | Enhanced referral facilitation with feedback via EHR or alternate data-sharing mechanism, and accountability for engagement | |
| | 2. Evidence-based care for preventive interventions and common behavioral health conditions | 2.1 Evidence- based guidelines/treat ment protocols | None, with limited training on BH disorders and treatment | PCP training on evidence-based guidelines for common behavioral health diagnoses and treatment | Systematic use of evidence-based guidelines for all patients; tools for regular monitoring of symptoms | Systematic tracking of symptom severity; protocols for intensification of treatment when appropriate | |
| | | 2.2 Use of psychiatric medications | PCP-initiated, limited ability to refer or receive guidance | PCP-initiated, with referral when necessary to a prescribing BH prescriber/psychiatrist for medication follow-up | PCP-managed, with support of BH prescriber/ psychiatrist as necessary | PCP-managed, with care management supporting adherence between visits and BH prescriber(s)/ psychiatrist support | |

| Role | Key Domains of I | Key Domains of Integrated Care | | Intermediate I. | Intermediate II. | Advanced | Self- Assessed Level |
|------|--|--|--|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| | | 2.3 Access to evidence-based psychotherapy with BH provider(s) | Supportive guidance provided by PCP, with limited ability to refer | Referral to external resources for counseling interventions | Brief psychotherapy interventions provided by co- located BH provider(s) | Broad range of evidence-based psychotherapy provided by co-located BH provider(s) as part of overall care team, with exchange of information | |
| | 3. Information exchange among providers | 3.1 Sharing of treatment information | Minimal sharing of treatment information within care team | Informal phone or hallway exchange of treatment information, without regular chart documentation | Exchange of treatment information through in-person or telephonic contact, with chart documentation | Routine sharing of information through electronic means (registry, shared EHR, shared care plans) | |
| | 4. Ongoing care management | 4.1 Longitudinal clinical monitoring and engagement | Limited follow- up of patients by office staff | Proactive follow-up (no less than monthly) to ensure engagement or early response to care | Use of tracking tool to monitor symptoms over time and proactive follow-up with reminders for outreach | Tracking integrated into EHR, including severity measurement, visits, care management interventions (e.g., relapse prevention techniques, behavioral activation), proactive follow-up; selected medical measures (e.g., blood pressure, A1C) tracked when appropriate | |
| | 5. Self- management support that is adapted to culture, socioeconomic and life experiences of patients | 5.1 Use of tools to promote patient activation and recovery with adaptations for literacy, language, local community norms | Brief patient education on BH condition provided by PCP | Brief patient education on BH condition, including materials/handouts and symptom score reviews, but limited focus on self- management goal- setting | Patient education and participation in self-management goal setting (e.g., sleep hygiene, medication adherence, exercise) | Systematic education and self-management goal-setting, with relapse prevention and care management support between visits | |

| Role | Key Domains of Integrated Care | | Preliminary | Intermediate I. | Intermediate II. | Advanced | Self- Assessed Level |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| Workforce | 6. Multidisciplinary team (including patients) to provide care | 6.1 Care Team | PCP, patient | PCP, patient, ancillary staff member | PCP, patient, ancillary staff member, care manager, BH provider(s) | PCP, patient, ancillary staff member, care manager, BH provider(s), psychiatrist (contributing to shared care plans) | |
| | | 6.2 Systematic multidisciplinary team-based patient care review processes | Limited written communication and interpersonal interaction between PC-BH provider(s), driven by necessity or urgency, or using patient as conduit | Regular written communication (notes/consult reports) between PCP and BH provider(s), occasional information exchange via ancillary staff, on complex patients | Regular in-person, phone, or e-mail communications between PCP and BH provider(s) to discuss complex cases | Weekly team-based case reviews to inform care planning and focus on patients not improving behaviorally or medically, with capability of informal interaction between PCP and BH provider(s) | |
| Management Support | 7. Systematic Quality Improvement (QI) | 7.1 Use of quality metrics for program improvement | Informal or limited use of BH quality metrics (limited use of data, anecdotes, case series) | Use of identified metrics (e.g., depression screening rates, depression response rates) and some ability to regularly review performance | Use of identified metrics, some ability to respond to findings using formal improvement strategies | Ongoing systematic quality improvement (QI) with monitoring of population-level performance metrics, and implementation of improvement projects by QI team/champion | |
| | 8. Linkages with community/soci al services that improve general health and mitigate environmental risk factors | 8.1 Linkages to housing, entitlement, other social support services | Few linkages to social services, no formal arrangements | Referrals made to agencies, some formal arrangements, but little capacity for follow-up | Screening for social determinants of health (SDOH), patients linked to community organizations/reso urces, with follow-up | Developing, sharing, implementing unified care plan between agencies, with SDOH referrals tracked | |
| | 9. Sustainability | 9.1 Build process for billing and | Limited ability to bill for | Billing for screening and treatment | Fee for service billing, and | Receipt of global payments that account | |

| Role | Key Domains of Integrated Care | | Preliminary | Intermediate I. | Intermediate II. | Advanced | Self- |
|------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| | | | | | | | Assessed |
| | | | | | | | Level |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | outcome | screening and | services (e.g., SBIRT, | additional revenue | for achievement of | |
| | | reporting to | treatment, or | PHQ screening, BH | from quality | behavioral health and | |
| | | support | services | treatment, care | incentives related | physical health | |
| | | sustainability of | supported | coordination) under | to BH integration. | outcomes | |
| | | integration | primarily by | fee for service, with | | | |
| | | efforts | grants | process in place for | | | |
| | | | | tracking | | | |
| | | | | reimbursements | | | |

The following organizations support the Washington Integrated Care Assessment (WA-ICA): the Health Care Authority; the five MCOs that provide Apple Health coverage – Amerigroup Washington, Community Health Plan of Washington, Coordinated Care, Molina Health Care, UnitedHealthcare; and the nine Accountable Communities of Health - Better Health Together, Cascade Pacific Action Alliance, Elevate Health, Greater Columbia ACH, HealthierHere, North Central ACH, North Sound ACH, Olympic Community of Health, and Southwest ACH.